## **Public Document Pack**

### Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

#### Legal & Democratic Services

Strategic Director: John Williams
Civic Centre, Victoria Avenue, Southend-on-Sea, Essex SS2 6ER
01702 215000
www.southend.gov.uk





Dear Councillor

#### **CABINET COMMITTEE - THURSDAY, 1ST NOVEMBER, 2018**

Please find enclosed, for consideration at the next meeting of the Cabinet Committee taking place on Thursday, 1st November, 2018, the following report(s) that were unavailable when the agenda was printed.

#### Agenda No Item

#### 9. **Request for Waiting Restrictions (Pages 1 - 6)** Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place)

Yours faithfully

Tim Row Principal Democratic Services Officer

Encs





This page is intentionally left blank

# Southend-on-Sea Borough Council

Report of Deputy Chief Executive (Place)

to Traffic Regulations Working Party and Cabinet Committee on

1<sup>st</sup> November 2018

Report prepared by: Peter Geraghty, Director for Planning and Transport

#### **Requests for Waiting Restrictions**

#### Cabinet Member: Councillor Moring Part 1 Public Agenda Item

#### 1. Purpose of Report

1.1 For the Traffic Regulations Working Party and the Cabinet Committee to authorise the advertisement of the amendments and/or new restrictions/traffic Regulation Orders in accordance with the statutory processes.

#### 2. Recommendation

- 2.1. That the Traffic Regulations Working Party and the Cabinet Committee:
  - a) Consider the requests to advertise the requisite Traffic Regulation Orders as shown in appendix 1;
  - b) If approved, further agree that in the event of there being no objections to the proposals, the proposal will be added to the existing work programme and the Traffic Regulation Order be confirmed;
  - c) Note that all unresolved objections will be referred to the Traffic Regulations Working Party for consideration.

#### 3. Background

- 3.1 Requests for new or amendments to existing waiting or traffic restrictions are regularly received from residents and the businesses as well as officer and Member suggestions.
- 3.2 All requests are assessed and investigated against the policy criterion agreed criteria by the Cabinet Committee in January 2016.

Report Title

Item No.

Agenda

#### 4. Other Options

4.1 Each request needs to be considered on its individual merits and their impact on public safety, traffic flows or parking and wider impact on the surrounding network. Members may consider taking no further action if they feel it is appropriate.

#### 5. Reasons for Recommendations

5.1 Where recommended the objective is to mitigate for likelihood of traffic flows being impeded, to improve safety or increase parking availability.

#### 6. Corporate Implications

- 6.1 Contribution to Council's Vision & Corporate Priorities
- 6.1.1 Ensure the highway network is effectively managed contributing to a Safe and Prosperous Southend.
- 6.2 Financial Implications
- 6.2.1 Where recommended, the source of funding will be from allocated budgets, where funding is provided from alternative budgets, this is highlighted as appropriate.
- 6.3 Legal Implications
- 6.3.1 The formal statutory consultative process will be completed in accordance with the requirements of the legislation where applicable.
- 6.4 *People Implications*
- 6.4.1 Staff time will be prioritised as needed to investigate, organise the advertisement procedures and monitor the progress of the proposals based on the committee priorities.
- 6.5 *Property Implications*
- 6.5.1 None
- 6.6 Consultation
- 6.6.1 Formal consultation will be undertaken including advertisement of the proposal in the local press and on the street as appropriate.
- 6.7 Equalities and Diversity Implications
- 6.7.1 The objectives of improving safety takes account of all users of the public highway including those with disabilities.

Report Title

- 6.8 Risk Assessment
- 6.8.1 Neutral.
- 6.9 Value for Money
- 6.9.1 All works resulting from the scheme design are to be undertaken by term contractors appointed through a competitive tendering process.
- 6.10 Community Safety Implications
- 6.10.1 All proposals are designed to maximise community safety through design, implementation and monitoring.
- 6.11 Environmental Impact
- 6.11.1 All proposals are designed and implemented to ensure relevant environmental benefits are attained through the use of appropriate materials and electrical equipment to save energy and contribute towards the Carbon Reduction targets where appropriate.

#### 7. Background papers

None

8. Appendices

Appendix 1 – List of requests and comments

#### Report Title

Location	Request Details	Request ed By	Relevant Criteria Points	Officer comments
Rochford Road –service roads	Propose permit parking controls in the service roads only.	Members	The Permit Parking Policy requires the wider area to be subject o controls rather than individual streets.	Following consultations with residents in the Airport area, this Committee agreed that ward Members to undertake further work with officers to identify potential solutions to increased parking pressure. Members have undertaken further consultations with residents who have requested Permit Parking Controls within the service road areas only.
				As this would be a departure from the agreed Policy in relation to Permit Parking and could displace parking into adjacent streets, Members are requested to consider the request.
Wells Avenue	Propose permit parking controls		The Permit Parking Policy requires the wider area to be subject to controls rather than individual streets.	Following consultations with residents in the Airport area, this Committee agreed that ward Members to undertake further work with officers to identify potential solutions to increased parking pressure. Members have undertaken further consultations with residents who have requested Permit Parking Controls within this street only. Surrounding streets are not supportive of permit parking controls.
				As this would be a departure from the agreed Policy in relation to Permit Parking and control parking in a single street, this could displace parking into streets immediately adjacent to Wells Avenue.
West Street Southend	Amend existing limited waiting bays from 1 hour to 2 hour limit	Member	NA	The bays serve a number of businesses in West Street which may attract customers requiring longer term parking.
				Recommend to advertise proposal.
Bunters Avenue turning area	Propose waiting restrictions		(iii and v)	Parking in the cul-de-sac section prevents turning movements.
				Recommend to advertise proposals

#### **APPENDIX 1 – TRO CHANGES/WAITING RESTRICTIONS REQUESTS**

Report Title

Report Number

Location	Request Details	Request ed By	Relevant Criteria Points	Officer comments
Cliffs Pavilion service road	Provide Drop Off bays within the service road	Cliffs Pavilion	NA	The request is designed to provide an area for customers to be dropped off adjacent to the entrance and will be useful for those with limited mobility Recommend to advertise proposal
East Street Southend	Remove section of parking bay and provide waiting restrictions	Members		<ul> <li>Limited waiting parking bays sited were located in East Street near to the junction with Victoria Avenue. As part of the junction improvements several years ago, the bays were amended to be relocated partially on the footway. The parking bays extend over the area of the access to the church and vehicles regularly park and block the access. The parking is usually for several minutes only and as such, when reported to the enforcement patrols, the vehicle has moved when they arrive. This can impact on funeral vehicles and force them to wait in East Street and delay other traffic.</li> <li>East Street is a distributor route and designed to allow for free flowing traffic.</li> <li>Removing a section of the parking bay will avoid the need for vehicles to impede traffic when unable to access the church.</li> <li>Recommend to advertise proposals</li> </ul>
Southchurch Boulevard	Provide additional limited waiting outside the GP surgery	Members	NA	Limited waiting bays would allow for easier patient access Recommend to proceed with proposals